

THERD STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Med States Patent and Tradement Office
from COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKE
Washington, D.O. MISSI

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/893,209 09/19/2001 Song Kim 10/28/2002 7590 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. **EXAMINER** 29th Ploor NGUYEN, TRINH T 865 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

RECEIVED

1 2002 NOV

3726 DATE MAILED: 10/28/2002

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

13 m				/
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	()A
		09/893,209	KIM, SONG	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	1
		Trinh T Nguyen	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -				
Therefore, furth final rejection u condition for all Examination (R	LED 15 October 2002 FAILS TO PLAC ner action by the applicant is required to nder 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: owance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appl CE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendment eal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper replent which places the application of the application of the security of the security of the application of the applicat	lyto a ation in
	<u>PERIOD FOR</u> roof for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing of the second se</u>	REPLY [check either a) or	(b)] 12 a low	
b) The per no even ONLY C 706.07(i Extensions of fee have been filled fee under 37 CFR (2) as set forth in (t	lod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th it, however, will the statutory period for reply expl CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W	is Advisory Action, of (2) the dat re later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT the date on which the petition un d of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory perior office later than three months aft	e set forth in the final rejection, when mailing date of the final reject THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the application armount of the fea. The application for reply originally set in the final	ton. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) 🔲 they	present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claim	1 5.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. The a) application	affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has be 	en considered but does NO	T place the
	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered be the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which wer	e newly
	oses of Appeal, the proposed amendme ion of how the new or amended claims			and an
The statu	s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s)	allowed:			
Claim(s)	objected to:	. •		
Claim(s)	rejected: <u>1-3</u> .		•	
Claim(s)	withdrawn from consideration: 4-8.			
8. The prope	osed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. ☐ Other: _		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			•	·
			•	

Canuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/893,209

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Inserting the phrase "predetermined thickness" into claim 1 is a new issue which would require further consideration.

GREGORY M. VIDOVICH PRIMARY EXAMINER